

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,598 07/23/2001		Harley Kent Heinrich	411951-234	3661
23879	7590 02/18/2004		EXAMINER	
	BERLINER, ESQ	LE, KIET T		
	IY & MYERS, LLP I HOPE STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90071-2899		2683	ی
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No	Applicant(s)				
	•	Applicati	on No.					
•	Office Astinus Occurrence	09/911,5	98	HEINRICH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit				
		Kiet T Le		2683				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on						
.2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) 1 - 16 is/are pending in the	e application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 -16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
,	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations  See the attached detailed Office actions	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docum onal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmer	ıt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

· Application/Control Number: 09/911,598

Art Unit: 2683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman (US 6.593.845) in view of Heinrick et al (US 5,850,181).

Regarding **claims 1 and 13**, Friedman discloses an RFID transponder, comprising:

electronic circuitry to provide RFID functionality (see col. 2, lines 30 – 37); an energy storage device coupled to said electronic circuitry to provide an operational voltage thereto (see fig. 8, capacitor 336, col. 13, lines 35 – 42);

a battery operatively coupled to said energy storage device to provide a charge thereto (see fig. 8, VBAT and Capacitor 336); and

a rectified RF power source derived from an interrogating RF field operatively coupled to said energy storage device to provide a charge thereto (see fig. 8, ANT\_IN, and capacitor 336, col. 13, lines 20 – 55) said rectified RF power source and said battery being electrically separated from each other (see fig. 8, ANT\_IN and VBAT);

Friedman fails to discloses said energy storage device remains charged by said battery in the absence of said RF interrogating field while said battery has remaining

Application/Control Number: 09/911,598

Art Unit: 2683

capacity, and said energy storage device is charged by the presence of said RF interrogating field after said battery has become depleted.

However, Heinrich teaches energy storage device remains charged by said battery in the absence of said RF interrogating field while said battery has remaining capacity, and said energy storage device is charged by the presence of said RF interrogating field after said battery has become depleted (see col. 4, line 61 to col. 5, line 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to provide the above teaching of Heinrich to Friedman in order to keep the RF transponder to operate continuously if RF interrogating field is not available.

Regarding **claims 2, 11 and 14,** the combination of Friedman and Heinrick disclose energy storage device further comprises a capacitor (see Friedman, fig. 8, capacitor 336, col. 13, lines 35 – 42).

Regarding **claim 3**, the combination of Friedman and Heinrick disclose the RFID transponder, further comprising a first diode coupled between said the rectified RF power source and said energy storage device (see Friedman, fig. 8, diode 334, ANT\_IN and capacitor 336).

Regarding **claim 4**, the combination of Friedman and Heinrick disclose the RFID transponder, further comprising a second diode coupled between said battery and said energy storage (see Friedman, fig.8, diode 344, capacitor 336 and VBAT).

Regarding **claim 5**, the combination of Friedman and Heinrick disclose said rectified RF power source comprises an RF front end adapted to receive said

\* Application/Control Number: 09/911,598

Art Unit: 2683

interrogating RF field and provide a rectifier voltage therefrom (see Friedman, col.13, lines 6 – 19).

Regarding **claim 6**, the combination of Friedman and Heinrick disclose said electronic circuitry further comprises a digital state machine adapted to control operation of said RFID transponder (see Friedman, fig. 4, Stage Machine 52,col. 7, lines 13 – 41).

Regarding **claim 7**, the combination of Friedman and Heinrick disclose said electronic circuitry further comprises an analog circuit block adapted to convert signals between analog and digital formats and to recover a clock signal from receive analog signals (see Friedman, col. 18, lines 27 – 44).

Regarding **claim 8**, the combination of Friedman and Heinrick disclose said electronic circuitry further comprises a memory device adapted to store data values (see Friedman, col. 7, lines 29 – 31).

Regarding **claim 10**, Friedman discloses a method for powering an RFID transponder comprising electronic circuitry to provide RFID functionality (see col. 2, lines 30 – 37); and an energy storage device coupled to said electronic circuitry to provide an operational voltage thereto (see fig. 8, capacitor 336, col. 13, lines 35 – 42), Friedman fails to discloses said method comprising the steps of:

charging said energy storage device continuously from an internal battery while said battery has remaining capacity; and

charging said energy storage device passively from a rectified RF power source derived from an interrogating RF field after said battery has become depleted.

Heinrick teaches:

Application/Control Number: 09/911,598

Art Unit: 2683

charging said energy storage device continuously from an internal battery while said battery has remaining capacity; and

charging said energy storage device passively from a rectified RF power source derived from an interrogating RF field after said battery has become depleted (see col. 4, line 61 to col. 5, line 7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to provide the above teaching of Heinrich to Friedman in order to keep the RF transponder to operate continuously if RF interrogating field is not available.

Regarding **claim 12**, the combination of Friedman and Heinrick disclose the step of electrically isolating said internal battery from said rectified RF power source (see Friedman, fig. 8, ANT\_IN and VBAT).

Regarding **claim 15**, the combination of Friedman and Heinrick disclose wherein said first charging means further comprises a battery operatively coupled to said energy storage device through a first diode (see Friedman, fig. 8, VBAT, diode 344, and capacitor 336).

Regarding **claim 16**, the combination of Friedman and Heinrick disclose wherein said second charging means further comprises a rectified RF power source operatively coupled to said energy storage device through a second diode (see Friedman, fig. 8, ANT\_IN, diode 334 and capacitor 336).

\*Application/Control Number: 09/911,598

Art Unit: 2683

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman (US – 6,593,845) in view of Vega et al (US – 6,282,407).

Regarding **claim 9**, Friedman discloses said memory device (see col. 7, lines 29 – 31). However, Friedman fails to disclose memory further comprises an electrically erasable, programmable read-only memory. Vega teaches an electrically erasable, programmable read-only memory (see col. 8, lines 26 – 29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to provide the above teaching of Vega to Friedman in order to be electrically erases the data in the memory device and store the new data.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kiet Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-9006. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (703)-308-5318. The fax number for this group is (703) 872-9314.

\* Application/Control Number: 09/911,598

Art Unit: 2683

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Kiet T. Le

Feb 11, 2004

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600